# Assessment of the Reproducibility of Pupillometer Measurements obtained from a Virtual Reality Headset: a control subject analysis.

Gustavo Rosa Gameiro, Rashed Kashem, David J. Taylor Gonzalez, Maria T. Matosas, Georgeana Mijares, Richard K. Parrish II, Mohamed Abou Shousha, John McSoley Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami FL

## Introduction

### Results

The evaluation of pupillary responses is a fundamental process in identifying neurological and ocular conditions. However, the subjectivity involved in traditional assessment techniques has frequently resulted in inconsistent and unreliable diagnoses. Although there have been improvements in this field, the need for an objective and consistent method remains unmet, with current practices still relying heavily on the subjective judgment of clinicians.

Virtual reality (VR) technology offers a potential breakthrough with its precise eye tracker as a valuable tool for enhancing the accuracy of pupillary assessments. This study investigates the use of a VR headset integrated with specialized software as a novel approach to pupillary measurement.

# Purpose

To evaluate the reliability of pupillometer measurements obtained from a novel software application incorporated into a commercially available virtual reality (VR) headset (Heru Prime, Heru, Miami, FL).

## Methods

A total of 25 consecutive subjects participated in the study. Each subject was assessed using the VR-based pupillometer on three separate occasions within a single day. The measurements recorded included pupil size in dim and bright light conditions, as well as the velocity of pupillary constriction (Figure 1).

The data obtained were then analyzed using Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) and Cronbach's alpha to assess the reproducibility of the measurements. Out of the 25 consecutive subjects, 24 completed all three tests and were included. High reproducibility measurements for pupil size in both dim and bright light conditions were found, with Cronbach's alpha values of 0.987 and 0.985, respectively. Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) for average measures was 0.981 (95% CI: 0.959 to 0.991) for dim light and 0.973 (95% CI: 0.909 to 0.988) for bright light. For accommodation measurements, the results demonstrated good consistency, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.837 and an ICC for average measures of 0.829 (95% CI: 0.724 to 0.898). The reproducibility was moderate for the velocity of pupillary constriction, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.716 and an ICC for average measures of 0.717 (95% CI: 0.544 to 0.832).

Pupillary reactivity was categorized into three groups based on constriction velocity: non-reactive (velocity < 0.4 mm/s), sluggish (0.4 mm/s  $\leq$  velocity < 0.8 mm/s), and brisk (velocity  $\geq$  0.8 mm/s). The measured reproducibility among these categories was 100%.



report generated by the VR-based pupillometer.



subject undergoing the VR-based pupil test protocol Table 1. Reproducibility analysis of pupillary metrics in control subjects.

|                                | Cronbach! | ICC -            |       |       | ICC-            |       |       |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|
|                                | s alpha   | Average Measures |       |       | Single Measures |       |       |
|                                |           | 95% CI           |       |       | 95% CI          |       |       |
|                                |           |                  | Lower | Upper |                 | Lower | Upper |
| Dim Light<br>(Diameter mm)     | 0.987     | 0.981            | 0.959 | 0.991 | 0.946           | 0.886 | 0.973 |
| Bright Light<br>(Diameter mm)  | 0.985     | 0.973            | 0.909 | 0.988 | 0.922           | 0.770 | 0.966 |
| Reactive<br>Velocity<br>(mm/s) | 0.716     | 0.717            | 0.544 | 0.832 | 0.458           | 0.284 | 0.622 |
| Pupil Reactivity<br>Category   | 1.000     | 1.000            |       |       | 1.000           |       |       |
| Accommodation<br>(mm)          | 0.837     | 0.829            | 0.724 | 0.898 | 0.618           | 0.467 | 0.747 |

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC), Confidence Interval (CI)

## Conclusion

Our results indicate that a pupillometer based on a wearable VR device can provide consistent and reproducible pupillometry results, demonstrating its potential as a reliable tool for pupillary assessment.

### References

Couret D, Boumaza D, Grisotto C, et al. Reliability of standard pupillometry practice in neurocritical care: an observational, double-blinded study. Crit Care 2016;20.

Volpe NJ, Plotkin ES, Maguire MG, et al. Portable pupillography of the swinging flashlight test to detect afferent pupillary defects. Ophthalmology 2000;107:1913-21.

Olson DWM, Stutzman S, Saju C, et al. Interrater Reliability of Pupillary Assessments. Neurocrit Care 2016;24:251-7.

United States Non-Provisional Patents. Patents and PCT are owned by University of Miami and licensed to Heru, Inc.. This study was supported by NEI core center grant to the University of Miami (P30 EY014801), and Research to Prevent Blindness (RPB). Supported in part by Lemann foundation (GRG), IPEPO Vision Institute (GRG) and CAPES foundation (GRG). MAS is equity holder and sits on the Board of Directors of Heru.

Supported in part by Lemann foundation (GRG), IPEPO Vision Institute (GRG) and CAPES foundation (GRG)